

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1490
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/681,309	03/16/2001	Walter J. Kennamer	1018.133US1	9560
75	90 04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
STEVEN J. ROCCI WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ			TODD, GREGORY G	
	& NORRIS LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE LIBERTY PLACE-46TH FLOOR			2157	
PHILADELPHI	A, PA 19103		DATE MAII ED: 04/06/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/681,309	KENNAMER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory G Todd	2157		

Advisory Action	09/681,309 KENNAMER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory G Todd	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a devent it has the same part and t	Examiner Gregory G Todd  PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other beal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must diate of the final rejection.  It is of the final reje	Art Unit 2157  orrespondence address ALLOWANCE. Indonment of this application evidence, which places the exit with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) at be filed within one of the exit the final rejection.  RST REPLY WAS FILED WITH and the appropriate extension fee unifinal Office action; or (2) as set in, even if timely filed, may reduce the appeal brief. The Notice of the date of filing the Notice of the appeal. Since a Notice 37(a).  To will not be entered because the below); Inducing or simplifying the issuected claims.  Induction of Appeal will not be entered and an explanation of the entered and entered	a following er. In no HIN TWO fee have nder 37 forth in (b) the any following er any for the following			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:		SALEH NAJJAR BRIMARY EXAMINER				
	·					

4 . . j 🔏

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not persuasive. Applicants argue, substantially, that Le does not teach handling data of a second type. However, Le clearly teaches, for example, Server A providing a sybase or oracle database, while a Server B provides a network file server database (at least col. 3, lines 20-36). Each of these databases inherently must be of different types of data to work with the type of database. The terminology of a type of data is broad and vague and as Le teaches two servers operating on different platforms and thus processing different data, Le teaches the failover server processing data of another type.